RETALIATORY OR RESPONSIVE EVENTS

Proposed False Claims Act Disclosure

Purpose and Scope

This section documents events that occurred contemporaneously with or after investigative activity, public disclosures, announced travel, governmental outreach, or attempts to preserve and publish evidence. The events are included because their timing, nature, or apparent relationship to those activities may warrant independent review.

Inclusion in this section does not, standing alone, establish that any event was retaliatory, coordinated, unlawful, or causally related to the investigation. Where identity, motive, technical mechanism, or institutional involvement could not be independently established, those limitations are stated expressly. The underlying photographs, videos, communications, public posts, records, and contemporaneous notes are identified in the chronology and supporting exhibits.

January 2026 — Initial Public Disclosures and Digital Evidence Event

Beginning January 6, 2026, I began publicly mentioning or indirectly referring to recurring atmospheric activity documented over the observation area. On January 8, I publicly referenced the United States Department of Defense. On January 14, I began a gradual public rollout of my observations, followed by more direct disclosures beginning January 28.

On January 31, I prepared a TikTok video intended to display one of the observed aerial objects. Before publication, I discovered that the draft had been deleted. I also observed indications that the draft may have been processed through a hashing or content-identification function.

A cryptographic hash may be created for routine purposes, including indexing, integrity verification, deduplication, or automated content identification. The apparent presence of a hash does not establish that a person or organization accessed, reviewed, or removed the draft. The deletion and apparent processing were nevertheless documented because they occurred while I was preparing evidence for public release. The precise technical cause has not been independently determined.

February 2026 — Travel Announcements and Unusual Contact

On February 8, I publicly announced my intention to travel. The planned travel was connected to an earlier February 2025 observation in which similar aerial activity appeared to recur during a trip to Charleston, South Carolina.

Following the announcement, an unfamiliar TikTok account commented, “Where are you going, beautiful?” I preserved the interaction because direct questions concerning my destination were unusual within the ordinary pattern of engagement on my account. I cannot determine whether the comment was connected to the announced travel or was an unrelated social-media interaction.

At approximately 5:00 a.m. on February 10, I observed Charter personnel working on a utility pole across from my residence. I documented the timing and location because the work occurred shortly after the travel announcement. I have not established that the utility work was connected to my investigation, communications, or travel plans.

On February 21, I publicly announced that I intended to travel in an effort to test whether the recurring observations would continue after I changed locations. This was described publicly as a proposed “hitchhiker” test and was based on the earlier Charleston observations.

March 3–19, 2026 — Whistleblower Notice and Pre-Departure Events

On March 3, I made a second public announcement stating that I intended to travel. The same day, an unidentified woman came to my residence and knocked on my door and at a neighboring residence. When leaving, the driver backed out of the area rather than pulling forward to turn around.

I considered whether the manner of departure might have reduced the likelihood that the vehicle’s rear license plate would be captured by residential cameras. That interpretation remains unverified, and I cannot determine why the driver backed out.

Also on March 3, I posted a formal public notice stating that I was conducting a whistleblower investigation concerning potential fraud, waste, and abuse under the False Claims Act.

On March 11, my husband received an unsolicited telephone call from a person claiming an association with UNC Health. The caller asked questions concerning me. The callback number did not appear to be publicly listed as a UNC Health number, and the caller did not ask that I personally return the call. The caller’s identity and purpose have not been independently established.

On March 15, I publicly announced that I intended to leave the area on March 20 but did not disclose my destination. I repeated the planned change of location on March 17.

On March 19, a person claiming an association with United States Special Forces commented on one of my TikTok posts. I received a notification of the comment, but the comment did not subsequently appear in the visible thread. I preserved the notification because of its timing before the announced departure. I cannot determine whether the account was authentic, why the comment disappeared, or whether the event was related to the investigation.